

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

20-2672/A

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20505

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

2 JUI 1970

The Honorable David N. Henderson, Chairman Subcommittee on Manpower and Civil Service Committee on Post Office and Civil Service House of Representatives
Washington, D. C. 20515

My dear Mr. Chairman:

This is in response to your request for my views on S. 782, a bill to protect the civilian employees of the Executive Branch of the United States Government in the enjoyment of their constitutional rights and to prevent unwarranted governmental invasion of their privacy.

I do not attempt to comment on the impact of S. 782 in general. My main concern is rather with its effect on the Central Intelligence Agency and the other agencies forming the intelligence community.

Certain provisions of S. 782 directly conflict with the statutory responsibilities of the Director of Central Intelligence for protecting intelligence sources and methods, and data relating to the organization of the Central Intelligence Agency. For example, the National Security Act of 1947 provides:

". . . the Director of Central Intelligence shall be responsible for protecting intelligence sources and methods from unauthorized disclosure; . . "
(50 U.S.C. 403(d)),

and the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949, as amended, provides:

"In the interests of the security of the foreign intelligence activities of the United States and in order further to implement the proviso of section 102(d)(3) of the National

Security Act of 1947 (Public Law 253, Eightieth Congress, first session) that the Director of Central Intelligence shall be responsible for protecting intelligence sources and methods from unauthorized disclosure, the Agency shall be exempted from the provisions. . . of any. . . law which require[s] the publication or disclosure of the organization, functions, names, official titles, salaries, or numbers of personnel employed by the Agency. . . " (50 U.S. C. 403g).

The adverse impact of S. 782 on the fundamental security interests of this Agency was developed in detail during my testimony before an executive session of your Subcommittee on 25 June 1968 in connection with S. 1035, an earlier version of S. 782. I am forwarding under separate cover certain classified material which restates our principal concerns.

The adversary procedures which S. 782 authorizes pose the same critical problems inherent in S. 1035--the Agency must either remain silent in the face of unfounded allegations (with the alleged offending officer taking the consequences of the sanctions embodied in the bill), or it must divulge information which it is obligated by statute to protect, and disclosure of which might damage the national intelligence effort.

If your Subcommittee were to give favorable consideration to legislation along the lines of S. 782, it is my considered judgment that the Central Intelligence Agency requires a complete exemption from S. 782 such as section 9 of the bill provides for the Federal Bureau of Investigation. It is also my belief that S. 782 creates serious problems for certain other components of the intelligence community, such as the National Security Agency, and I trust that their views will receive favorable consideration.

I shall be happy to provide any additional information you may request.

The Office of Management and Budget advises that there is no objection to the submission of this report and that enactment of S. 782 in its present form would not be consistent with the Administration's objectives.

Sincerely,

/s/ Richard Helms

Richard Helms Director

Enclosure (Under Separate Cover) Letter to Chairman Henderson from Director re S. 782

Distribution:

Original - Addressee

- 1 DCI w/o encl
- 1 DDCI w/o encl
- 1 Ex/Dir w/o encl
- 1 DDS w/o encl
- 1 OGC w/o encl
- 1 OLC w/basic

OLC:JMM:jmd (1 July 1970)

NINETY-FIRST CANOBES OVED For Release 2005/03/24 : CIA-RDP81-00818R000100040003-7

Executive Registry

70-3672

DAVID N. HENDERSON, N.C., CHAIRMAN ROBERT N. &. NIX, PA. RICHARD C. WHITE, TEX. LEE H. HAMILTON, IND. FRANK J. BRASCO, N.Y.

H. R. GROSS, IOWA EDWARD J. DERWINSKI, ILL. DONALD E. LUKENS, OHIO

EX OFFICIO: THADDEUS J. DULSKI, N.Y. ROBERT J. CORBETT, PA.

U.S. House of Representatives

SUBCOMMITTEE ON MANPOWER AND CIVIL SERVICE
OF THE
COMMITTEE ON POST OFFICE AND CIVIL SERVICE
Washington, D.C. 20515

May 22, 1970

Mr. Richard Helms
Director, Central Intelligence
Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mr. Helms:

Senate bill S. 782, a bill to protect the civilian employees of the executive branch of the United States Government in the enjoyment of their constitutional rights and to prevent unwarranted governmental invasions of their privacy, passed the Senate on May 19, 1970, and has been referred to the House Committee on Post Office and Civil Service, Subcommittee on Manpower and Civil Service.

The Subcommittee would appreciate receiving your views on this particular legislation.

With best wishes, I am

Sincerely yours,

Chairman

Approved For Release 2005/03/24 : CIA-RDP81-00818R000100040003-7

Informational copies of report to Chairman Henderson on S. 782 sent to:

DDS&T DDI DDP IG

on 7 July 1970.

S	TΑ	١T

Approved For Release 20355/03/240N. TASTOPPOSICION PRERODO 00040 UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRET OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP TO NAME AND ADDRESS DATE INITIALS 1 Director 2 3 4 5 6 OLC for handcarry 7D43 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: The attached is a re-write to Chairman Henderson in response to his request for our views on the Ervin bill. It incorporates some suggestions offered by the Bureau of the Budget who are considering the possibility of a veto unless the bill is modified, and therefore wished us to strengthen some of our language. FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME. ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE OLC 7D43 UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRET	_				
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP TO NAME AND ADDRESS DATE INITIALS 1 Director 2 3 4 5 6 OLC for handcarry 7D43 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: The attached is a re-write to Chairman Henderson in response to his request for our views on the Ervin bill. It incorporates some suggestions offered by the Bureau of the Budget who are considering the possibility of a veto unless the bill is modified, and therefore wished us to strengthen some of our language. FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME. ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE OLC 7D43					70818R00010
Director Direct		UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDEN	ITAL	SECREI
Director Direct		OFFIC	CIAL ROUTING	SLIP	
3 6 OLC for handcarry 7D43 ACTION APPROVAL DISPATCH APPROVAL COMMENT FILE CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE RECOMMENT GONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE RECOMMENT Henderson in response to his request for our views on the Ervin bill. It incorporates some suggestions offered by the Bureau of the Budget who are considering the possibility of a veto unless the bill is modified, and therefore wished us to strengthen some of our language. FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME. ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE OLC 7D43	то	NAME AND	ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
4 5 6 OLC for handcarry 7D43 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: The attached is a re-write to Chairman Henderson in response to his request for our views on the Ervin bill. It incorporates some suggestions offered by the Bureau of the Budget who are considering the possibility of a veto unless the bill is modified, and therefore wished us to strengthen some of our language. FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME. ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE OLC 7D43	1	Director	3	JUL 1970	Wed
6 OLC for handcarry 7D43 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: The attached is a re-write to Chairman Henderson in response to his request for our views on the Ervin bill. It incorporates some suggestions offered by the Bureau of the Budget who are considering the possibility of a veto unless the bill is modified, and therefore wished us to strengthen some of our language. John M. Maury Legislative Counsel FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME. ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE OLC 7D43	2		-		
6 OLC for handcarry 7D43 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: The attached is a re-write to Chairman Henderson in response to his request for our views on the Ervin bill. It incorporates some suggestions offered by the Bureau of the Budget who are considering the possibility of a veto unless the bill is modified, and therefore wished us to strengthen some of our language. FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE OLC 7D43	3	OL	<u></u>		
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: The attached is a re-write to Chairman Henderson in response to his request for our views on the Ervin bill. It incorporates some suggestions offered by the Bureau of the Budget who are considering the possibility of a veto unless the bill is modified, and therefore wished us to strengthen some of our language. John M. Maury Legislative Counsel FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. OLC 7D43	4				
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: The attached is a re-write to Chairman Henderson in response to his request for our views on the Ervin bill. It incorporates some suggestions offered by the Bureau of the Budget who are considering the possibility of a veto unless the bill is modified, and therefore wished us to strengthen some of our language. John M. Maury Legislative Counsel FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE OLC 7D43	5				
APPROVAL COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: The attached is a re-write to Chairman Henderson in response to his request for our views on the Ervin bill. It incorporates some suggestions offered by the Bureau of the Budget who are considering the possibility of a veto unless the bill is modified, and therefore wished us to strengthen some of our language. John M. Maury Legislative Counsel FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME. ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. OLC 7D43	6	7D43			
COMMENT CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: The attached is a re-write to Chairman Henderson in response to his request for our views on the Ervin bill. It incorporates some suggestions offered by the Bureau of the Budget who are considering the possibility of a veto unless the bill is modified, and therefore wished us to strengthen some of our language. John M. Maury Legislative Counsel FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME. ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE OLC 7D43					
Remarks: The attached is a re-write to Chairman Henderson in response to his request for our views on the Ervin bill. It incorporates some suggestions offered by the Bureau of the Budget who are considering the possibility of a veto unless the bill is modified, and therefore wished us to strengthen some of our language. John M. Maury Legislative Counsel FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME. ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE OLC 7D43					ENDATION
Remarks: The attached is a re-write to Chairman Henderson in response to his request for our views on the Ervin bill. It incorporates some suggestions offered by the Bureau of the Budget who are considering the possibility of a veto unless the bill is modified, and therefore wished us to strengthen some of our language. John M. Maury Legislative Counsel FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME. ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE OLC 7D43				-	DE
Henderson in response to his request for our views on the Ervin bill. It incorporates some suggestions offered by the Bureau of the Budget who are considering the possibility of a veto unless the bill is modified, and therefore wished us to strengthen some of our language. John M. Maury Legislative Counsel FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. OLC 7D43		CONGURRENCE	INFORMATION	1 John Nie	N.C
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE OLC 7D43	H v: s: w u	enderson in re iews on the Erv uggestions offe who are consider nless the bill is	sponse to his revin bill. It incorred by the Burering the possible modified, and	equest for orporates eau of the ility of a value therefore	our some Budget reto
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE OLC 7D43			• •		
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE OLC 7D43					_
OLC 7D43			Legisl	ative Cour	isel
		FOLD			
UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRET			HERE TO RETURN TO) SENDER	
		FROM: NAME	HERE TO RETURN TO) SENDER	

FORM NO. 237 Use previous editions